## UROCYSTIS VERSUS TUBURCINIA

A PROPOSAL for the conservation of the name Urocystis Rabenh. has been drawn up by the Plant Pathology Committee of the British Mycological Society (Secretary: G. C. Ainsworth), and forwarded to Dr C. L. Shear, the Secretary of the Special Committee for Fungi, appointed at the International Botanical Congress, Amsterdam, 1935.

The name *Tuburcinia* has precedence, and is the legal name according to the International Rules of Botanical Nomenclature.

The Plant Pathology Committee proposed that the name Urocystis Rabenh. (1856) be conserved against the name Tuburcinia Fr. (1832) for the following reasons:

(i) The name *Urocystis* has been well known to, and in frequent use by, plant pathologists since there has been a science of plant pathology, and should accordingly not be discarded without cogent reasons.

(ii) The disuse of the name Urocystis is not dictated by the accession of any new knowledge. It has been known and accepted that *Tuburcinia Orobanches* is a species of Urocystis since 1877, when it was renamed Urocystis Orobanches. For more than forty years mycologists refrained from transferring the species of Urocystis en bloc to Tuburcinia, so as not to confuse the literature.

(iii) Since 1887, the generic name *Tuburcinia* Fr. has been used in a rather different sense, as if it were founded on *T. Trientalis* Berk. & Br., a species unknown to Fries. It is still a matter of taxonomic dispute whether species of *Tuburcinia* so used (and none of them are major pathogens) are properly classified in the same genus with the species of the genus *Urocystis*.

It is strongly urged that it is not in the interests of plant pathology that major pathogens such as U. occulta, U. Tritici and U. Cepulae should be dispossessed of a well known and long established generic name that has always been free from any taint of nomenclatural confusion, in order to be given a less known one, the use of which since 1887 is open to suspicion.

(Accepted for publication 31 May 1939)